arXiv:1402.5677v1 [math.CO] 23 Feb 2014

Strong edge coloring of planar graphs with girth at least six

Watcharintorn Ruksasakchai [a] Tao Wang [b, c, *]

[a]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Khon Kaen University, Khon Kaen, 40002, Thailand

[b]Institute of Applied Mathematics
Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

[c]College of Mathematics and Information Science
Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

February 25, 2014

Abstract

An edge coloring is a *strong edge coloring* if each path of length three uses three distinct colors. The *strong chromatic index* $\chi'_s(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of colors needed in a strong edge coloring of G. In this paper, we prove that if G is a graph with $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ and maximum average degree less than 3, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$. Moreover, we prove that every planar graph G with girth at least 6 admits a strong edge coloring with $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors, which improves a result in [D. Hudák, B. Lužar, R. Soták and R. Škrekovski, Strong edge-coloring of planar graphs, Discrete Math. 324 (2014) 41–49]. In addition, we prove that if G is a planar graph with maximum degree at least 4 and girth at least 7, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G)$. Especially, all the results can be applied to the list version of strong edge coloring.

1 Introduction

A *proper edge coloring* is an assignment to the edges such that adjacent edges receive distinct colors. The *chromatic index* $\chi'(G)$ is the minimum number of colors in a proper edge coloring of G. We denote the minimum and maximum degrees of vertices in G by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. The well-known result on edge coloring is Vizing's theorem, which says that $\Delta(G) \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$.

An edge coloring is a *strong edge coloring* if each path of length three uses three distinct colors. That is, an edge coloring is *strong* if for each edge uv, the color of uv is distinct from the colors of the edges (other than uv) incident with $N_G(u) \cup N_G(v)$. The *strong chromatic index* $\chi'_s(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum number of colors needed in a strong edge coloring of G. The concept of strong edge coloring was introduced by Fouquet and Jolivet [6, 7].

For the graph with maximum degree at most two, we can easily obtain the following result.

Proposition 1. If G is a graph with maximum degree one, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 1$. If G is a graph with maximum degree two, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 5$.

In 1985, Edrös and Nešetřil constructed graphs with strong chromatic index $\frac{5}{4}\Delta^2$ when Δ is even, $\frac{1}{4}(5\Delta^2-2\Delta+1)$ when Δ is odd. Inspired by their construction, they proposed the following strong edge coloring conjecture during a seminar in Prague.

Conjecture 1 ([4])**.** If G is a graph with maximum degree Δ, then

$$\chi'_s(G) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{5}{4}\Delta^2, & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is even} \\ \frac{1}{4}(5\Delta^2 - 2\Delta + 1), & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

In 1990, Faudree et al. [5] proposed various conjectures on subcubic graphs (graphs with maximum degree at most three).

Conjecture 2. Let G be a cubic graph.

1. The strong chromatic index is at most 10.

*Corresponding author: wangtao@henu.edu.cn

2. If G is bipartite, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 9$.

3. If G is planar, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 9$.

4. If G is bipartite and the degree sum of every edge is at most 5, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 6$.

5. If G is bipartite with girth at least 6, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 7$.

6. If G is bipartite and its girth is large, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 5$.

Andersen [1] and Horák et al. [9] independently confirmed Conjecture 2.1. Steger and Yu [14] confirmed Conjecture 2.2. The Conjecture 2.4 was verified by Wu and Lin [16], and it was generalized by Nakprasit [12, 13].

A graph is *k-degenerate* if every subgraph has a vertex of degree at most k. Chang and Narayanan [2] showed the strong chromatic index of a 2-degenerate graph G is at most $10\Delta(G) - 10$. Recently, Luo and Yu [11] improved the upper bound to $8\Delta(G) - 4$. For general k-degenerate graph G, Dębski et al. [3] provided an upper bound $(4k-1)\cdot\Delta(G) - k(2k+1) + 1$; Yu [17] improved it to $(4k-2)\cdot\Delta(G) - 2k^2 + k + 1$; Wang [15] improved it to $(4k-2)\cdot\Delta(G) - 2k^2 + 1$ by using similar techniques in [15]. In particular, when G is a 2-degenerate graph, the strong chromatic index is at most $6\Delta(G) - 7$, which improves the upper bound $10\Delta(G) + 10$ given by Chang and Narayanan [2].

The *degree* of a vertex v in G, denoted by $\deg(v)$, is the number of incident edges of v in G. A vertex of degree k, at most k and at least k are called a k-vertex, k^--vertex and k^+-vertex, respectively. A *k_t-vertex* is a k-vertex adjacent to exactly t vertices of degree two. Two distinct edges $e_1 = uv$ and e_2 are *within distance two*, if e_2 is incident with at least one vertex in $N_G(u) \cup N_G(v)$. The *girth* of a graph G is the length of a shortest cycle in G; if G has no cycle we define the girth of G to be ∞.

The *maximum average degree* $\mathrm{mad}(G)$ of a graph G is the largest average degree of its subgraphs, that is,

$$\mathrm{mad}(G) = \max_{H \subseteq G} \left\{ \frac{2|E(H)|}{|V(H)|} \right\}.$$

In 1990, Faudree et al. [5] studied the strong edge coloring of planar graphs. They gave an upper bound on the strong chromatic index in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. If G is a planar graph, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 4\Delta(G) + 4$.

By employing similar analogies, we can obtain the following two results.

Theorem 1.2. If G is a planar graph, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 4\chi'(G) \leq 4\Delta(G) + 4$.

Theorem 1.3. If G is a planar graph with girth at least 7, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\chi'(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 3$.

The famous Four Color Theorem is used in the proof of Theorem 1.2. We can prove Theorem 1.3 by using Grötzsch's theorem [8], which says that the chromatic number of a triangle-free planar graph is at most 3.

Recently, Hudák et al. [10] confirmed Conjecture 2.3 with an additional condition that the girth is at least 6.

Theorem 1.4. If G is a subcubic planar graph with girth at least 6, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 9$.

Hudák et al. also proved the following two results.

Theorem 1.5. If G is a planar graph with girth at least 6, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 5$.

Theorem 1.6. If G is a planar graph with girth at least 7, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G)$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.6, they used the techniques in Theorem 1.1, Grötzsch's theorem [8], Theorem 1.6 and an unpublished result.

In section 2, we prove that if G is a graph with $\mathrm{mad}(G) < 3$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$. In section 3, we improve the upper bound on $\chi'_s(G)$ in Theorem 1.5 to $3\Delta(G) + 1$. In section 4, we give an elementary and self-contained proof of Theorem 4.1. In the final section, we discuss the list version of strong edge coloring. Moreover, all the results are true for the list version of strong edge coloring.

2 Graph with maximum average degree less than 3 and maximum degree at most 4

Theorem 2.1. If G is a graph with $\mathrm{mad}(G) < 3$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$.

Proof. Let G be a minimum counterexample to the theorem. The minimum means every proper subgraph H of G admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(H) + 1$ colors. By the minimality, the graph G is connected and $\delta(G) \geq 1$. By Proposition 1, the maximum degree is at least three.

Claim 1. The minimum degree of G is at least two.

Proof. Suppose that 1-vertex v is adjacent to a vertex u. The graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. Note that the edge uv has at most $3\Delta(G)$ colored edges within distance two. Thus, we can assign an available color to uv, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 2. Every 2-vertex is adjacent to a 4-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that 2-vertex v is adjacent to two 3^--vertices u and w. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. Both uv and wv have at most $2\Delta(G) + 2$ colored edges within distance two, thus both have at least two available colors, a contradiction. □

Since $\mathrm{mad}(G) < 3$, we have the following inequality:

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (\deg(v) - 3) < 0. \tag{1}$$

By (1), the graph G has at least one 2^--vertex, which combines with Claim 1 and Claim 2 implies $\Delta(G) = 4$.

Claim 3. Let v_1 be a 2-vertex with $N_G(v_1) = \{v, w_1\}$. If v is adjacent to at least two 2-vertices, then w_1 is a 4-vertex.

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that w_1 is a 3^--vertex and v is adjacent to another 2-vertex v_2. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. The edge vv_1 has at most $2\Delta(G)+4$ colored edges within distance two, and the edge v_1w_1 has at most $2\Delta(G)+3$ colored edges within distance two. Hence, the edge vv_1 has at least one available color and v_1w_1 has at least two available colors. Thus, we can color vv_1 and v_1w_1 in this order, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 4. No 4_4-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that v is a 4_4-vertex with $N_G(v) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. Note that every edge vv_i has at most $\Delta(G) + 3$ colored edges within distance two, thus each edge has at least $2\Delta(G) - 2 \geq 4$ available colors, a contradiction. □

Claim 5. If 4_3-vertex v is adjacent to three 2-vertices v_1, v_2 and v_3, then v_1 is adjacent to a 4_1-vertex w_1.

Proof. By contradiction and Claim 3 and Claim 4, the vertex w_1 is a 4_2- or 4_3-vertex. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. Now, we erase the color on edge vv_2 and denote the resulting coloring by σ. The edge v_1w_1 has at most $2\Delta(G) + 4$ colored edges within distance two, thus it has at least one available color, so we can assign a color to v_1w_1. After v_1w_1 is colored, both vv_1 and vv_2 have at least two available colors, so we can assign colors to them, which is a contradiction. □

Assign the initial charge of every vertex v to be $\deg(v) - 3$. We design appropriate discharging rules and redistribute charges among vertices, such that the final charge of every vertex is nonnegative, which derives a contradiction.

The Discharging Rules:

(R1) Every 4_1-vertex sends 1 to the adjacent 2-vertex.

(R2) Every 4_2-vertex sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to every adjacent 2-vertex.

- **Let v be a 4-vertex.** If v is a 4_0-vertex, then the final charge is $4 - 3 = 1$. If v is a 4_1-vertex, then the final charge is $4 - 3 - 1 = 0$. If v is a 4_2-vertex, then the final charge is $4 - 3 - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$. If v is a 4_3-vertex, then the final charge is $4 - 3 = 1$. By Claim 4, there is no 4_4-vertex.
- The final charge of every 3-vertex is zero.
- **Let v be a 2-vertex.** If v is adjacent to a 4_1-vertex, then the final charge is at least $2 - 3 + 1 = 0$.

  By Claim 5, if v is adjacent to a 4_3-vertex, then it is adjacent to a 4_1-vertex, but this case has been excluded. So we may assume that v is not adjacent to 4_1- or 4_3-vertex. By Claim 2, the 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 4-vertex. By Claim 3 and the excluded cases, the vertex v is adjacent to two 4_2-vertices, and then the final charge is $2 - 3 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$. □

Corollary 1. If G is a planar graph with girth at least 6 and $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$.

3 Planar graph with girth at least 6

A 2-vertex is *weak* if it is adjacent to a 3^--vertex. A 2-vertex is *strong* if it is adjacent to two 5^+-vertices, or a 4_2-vertex and a 5^+-vertex.

Theorem 3.1. If G is a planar graph with girth at least 6, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$.

Proof. Let G be a minimum counterexample to the theorem that has been embedded in the plane. The minimum means every proper subgraph H of G admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(H) + 1$ colors. By the minimality, the graph G is connected and $\delta(G) \geq 1$. By Corollary 1, the maximum degree of G is at least five.

Claim 1. Every 1-vertex is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that 1-vertex v is adjacent to a 4^--vertex u. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. The edge uv has at most $3\Delta(G)$ colored edges within distance two, so we can extend the coloring to G, a contradiction. □

Claim 2. Every 2-vertex is adjacent to a 4^+-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that 2-vertex v is adjacent to two 3^--vertices u and w. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. Both uv and wv have at most $2\Delta(G) + 2$ colored edges within distance two, thus both of them have at least two available colors, a contradiction. □

Claim 3. Every vertex is adjacent to at least one 3^+-vertices.

Proof. Suppose that v is a τ-vertex with $N_G(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\tau\}$ and is not adjacent to 3^+-vertices. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. Note that every edge vv_i has at most $\Delta(G) + \tau - 1$ colored edges within distance two, thus each edge vv_i has at least $2\Delta(G) - \tau + 2 \geq \Delta(G)$ available colors, a contradiction. □

Claim 4. Let v_1 be a 2-vertex with $N_G(v_1) = \{v, w_1\}$. If v is a 4-vertex and is adjacent to at least two 2-vertices, then w_1 is a 4^+-vertex.

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that w_1 is a 3^--vertex and v is adjacent to another 2-vertex v_2. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. The edge vv_1 has at most $2\Delta(G)+4$ colored edges within distance two, and the edge v_1w_1 has at most $2\Delta(G)+3$ colored edges within distance two. Hence, the edge vv_1 has at least two available color and v_1w_1 has at least three available colors. Thus, we can color vv_1 and v_1w_1 in this order, which is a contradiction. □

Claim 5. Let v_1 be a 2-vertex with $N_G(v_1) = \{v, w_1\}$. If v is a τ-vertex and is adjacent to exactly $\tau - 1$ vertices of degree two, then w_1 is a 5^+-vertex or 4_1-vertex or 4_2-vertex.

Proof. By contradiction and Claim 3, suppose that w_1 is a 3^--vertex or 4_3-vertex. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. The edge v_1w_1 has at most $3\Delta(G) - 1$ colored edges within distance two, and so it has at least two available colors. The edge vv_1 has at most $\Delta(G)+2(\tau-2)+3 \leq 3\Delta(G) - 1$ colored edges within distance two, and so it has at least two available colors. Thus we can color v_1w_1 and vv_1 in this order, a contradiction. □

Claim 6. Let v_1 be a 2-vertex with $N_G(v_1) = \{v, w_1\}$. If v is a τ-vertex and is adjacent to exactly $\tau - 1$ vertices of degree two, where $\tau < \Delta(G)$, then w_1 is a 5^+-vertex or 4_1-vertex.

Proof. By contradiction and Claim 3, suppose that w_1 is a 3^--vertex or 4_2-vertex or 4_3-vertex. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. The edge v_1w_1 has at most $\tau - 1 + 2\Delta(G) + 2 \leq 3\Delta(G)$ colored edges within distance two, and the edge vv_1 has at most $\Delta(G) + 2(\tau - 2) + 3 \leq 3\Delta(G) - 3$ colored edges within distance two. Hence, the edge v_1w_1 has at least one available color and vv_1 has at least four available colors. Thus, we can color v_1w_1 and vv_1 in this order, which is a contradiction. □

From Euler's formula, we have the following equality:

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} (2\deg(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (\deg(f) - 6) = -12. \tag{2}$$

Assign the initial charge of every vertex v to be $2\deg(v) - 6$ and the initial charge of every face f to be $\deg(f) - 6$. We design appropriate discharging rules and redistribute charges among vertices and faces, such that the final charge of every vertex and every face is nonnegative, which derives a contradiction.

The Discharging Rules:

(R1) Every 1-vertex receives 2 from the incident face.

(R2) Every 1-vertex receives 2 from the adjacent vertex.

(R3) Every 4_1-vertex sends 2 to the adjacent 2-vertex.

(R4) Every 4_2-vertex sends 1 to every adjacent 2-vertex.

(R5) Every 4_3-vertex sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to every adjacent 2-vertex.

(R6) If 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 3^--vertex w, then v receives 2 from u.

(R7) If 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 4_3-vertex w, then v receives $\frac{4}{3}$ from u.

(R8) Every 5^+-vertex sends 1 to every strong 2-vertex.

If f is a face incident with t vertices of degree one, then the degree of f is at least $6 + 2t$, and then the final charge of f is at least $(6 + 2t) - 6 - 2t = 0$ by (R1).

If v is a 1-vertex, then it receives 2 from its incident face and 2 from the adjacent vertex, and then the final charge is $2 \times 1 - 6 + 2 + 2 = 0$ by (R1) and (R2).

Let v be a 2-vertex. If v is adjacent to a 4_1-vertex, then it receives 2 from every adjacent 4_1-vertex, and then the final charge is at least $2 \times 2 - 6 + 2 = 0$. So we may assume that v is not adjacent to 4_1-vertices. If v is adjacent to a 4^+-vertex u and a 3^--vertex w, then according to Claim 4 and excluded case, the vertex u is a 5^+-vertex, and then the final charge is $2 \times 2 - 6 + 2 = 0$ by (R6). So we may assume that v is adjacent to two 4^+-vertices. If v is adjacent to a 4_3-vertex, then according to Claim 6, it is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex, and the final charge is at least $2 \times 2 - 6 + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$ by (R5) and (R7). So we further assume that v is not adjacent to 4_3-vertices. By excluded cases, if v is adjacent to a 4_2-vertex, then the other neighbor is a 4_2-vertex or 5^+-vertex, and then the final charge is $2 \times 2 - 6 + 1 + 1 = 0$ by (R4) and (R8). The last case, the vertex v is adjacent to two 5^+-vertex, then the final charge is $2 \times 2 - 6 + 1 + 1 = 0$ by (R8).

The final charge of every 3-vertex is zero.

Let v be a 4-vertex. If v is a 4_0-vertex, then the final charge is $2 \times 4 - 6 = 2$. If v is a 4_1-vertex, then the final charge is $2 \times 4 - 6 - 2 = 0$. If v is a 4_2-vertex, then the final charge is $2 \times 4 - 6 - 2 \times 1 = 0$. If v is a 4_3-vertex, then the final charge is $2 \times 4 - 6 - 3 \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$. Hence, the final charge of a 4-vertex is nonnegative.

Suppose that v is a τ-vertex with $\tau \geq 5$. If v is adjacent to at least three 3^+-vertices, then the final charge is at least $2\tau - 6 - 2(\tau - 3) = 0$. By Claim 3, we may assume that v is adjacent to one or two 3^+-vertices.

- The vertex v is adjacent to precisely one 3^+-vertex v_1.

  Suppose that v is adjacent to a 1-vertex v_τ. The graph $G - v_\tau$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. But the edge vv_τ has at most $\Delta(G) + 2(\tau - 2) \leq 3\Delta(G) - 4$ colored edges within distance two, so it has at least five available colors, which is a contradiction. Thus, the vertex v is adjacent to exactly $\tau - 1$ vertices of degree two, says $v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_\tau$. By Claim 5 and discharging rules, every 2-vertex v_i receives at least 1 from the neighbor other than v, and receives at most 1 from v, thus the final charge is at least $2\tau - 6 - (\tau - 1) \times 1 = \tau - 5 \geq 0$.

- The vertex v is adjacent to precisely two 3^+-vertices v_1 and v_2.

  Let $v_3, v_4, \ldots, v_\tau$ be the 2^--neighbors of v. Suppose that the vertex v is adjacent to at most two 2-vertices, thus the vertex v is adjacent to a 1-vertex, say v_τ. The graph $G - v_\tau$ has a strong edge coloring with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. But the edge vv_τ has at most $2\Delta(G) + 2 + 2 + (\tau - 5) \leq 3\Delta(G) - 1$ colored edges within distance two, a contradiction. Hence, the vertex v is adjacent to at least three 2-vertices, including v_3, v_4, v_5.

  If v is adjacent to at most $(\tau - 5)$ weak 2-vertices or 1-vertices, then the final charge is at least $2\tau - 6 - 2(\tau - 5) - 3 \times \frac{4}{3} = 0$. So we may assume that v is adjacent to at least $(\tau - 4)$ weak 2-vertices or 1-vertices, including $v_5, v_6, \ldots, v_\tau$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\deg(v_5) = 2 \geq \deg(v_6) \geq \cdots \geq \deg(v_\tau)$.

  Let $N_G(v_3) = \{v, w_3\}$ and $N_G(v_4) = \{v, w_4\}$. If both v_3 and v_4 are strong 2-vertices, then the final charge of v is at least $2\tau - 6 - 2(\tau - 4) - 1 - 1 = 0$. If one of w_3 and w_4 is a 4_1-vertex, then the final charge of v is at least $2\tau - 6 - 2(\tau - 3) = 0$.

  By the excluded cases, we may assume that one of v_3 and v_4, say v_4, is a 3^--vertex or 4_3-vertex.

  The graph $G - \{v_4, v_5, \ldots, v_\tau\}$ admits a strong edge coloring σ with at most $3\Delta(G) + 1$ colors. We can assign colors to $vv_4, vv_5, \ldots, vv_{\tau-1}$ in this order because vv_i has at most $2\Delta(G) + 5$ colored edges within distance two and has at least $\Delta(G) - 4 \geq \tau - 4$ available colors. Next, we can color the edge vv_τ, because v_τ is a 1-vertex or adjacent to a 3^--vertex w_τ. If w_4 is a 4_3-vertex, then v_4w_4 has at most $\Delta(G) + (\Delta(G) + 2 + 2) = 2\Delta(G) + 4$

colored edges within distance two, and then we can assign an available color to v_4w_4. If w_4 is a 3^--vertex, then the edge v_4w_4 has at most $3\Delta(G)$ colored edges within distance two, we also can assign an available color to v_4w_4. Similarly, we can color the edges $v_5w_5, v_6w_6, \ldots$ in this order (w_i is the neighbor of v_i other than v if v_i is a 2-vertex). According to this coloring procedure, we obtain a strong edge coloring of G, a contradiction. □

4 Planar graph with girth at least 7

We give an elementary and self-contained proof of the following result, which has been proved in [10].

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree *at most* Δ, where $\Delta \geq 4$. If G has girth at least 7, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta$.

Proof. Let G be a minimum counterexample to the theorem that has been embedded in the plane. The minimum means every proper subgraph H of G admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. By the minimality, the graph G is connected and $\delta(G) \geq 1$.

Claim 1. Every 1-vertex v is adjacent to a 4^+-vertex u; if u is a 4-vertex, then it is adjacent to three Δ-vertices.

Proof. Suppose that 1-vertex v is adjacent to a vertex u. The graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. If uv has at most $3\Delta - 1$ colored edges within distance two, then we can extend the coloring to G, a contradiction. Hence, the edge uv has at least 3Δ colored edges within distance two, which implies the claim. □

Claim 2. Every 2-vertex is adjacent to a 4^+-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that 2-vertex v is adjacent to two 3^--vertices u and w. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. Both uv and wv have at most $2\Delta + 2 \leq 3\Delta - 2$ colored edges within distance two, thus both have at least two available colors, a contradiction. □

Claim 3. Every vertex is adjacent to at least one 3^+-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that v is a τ-vertex with $N_G(v) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_\tau\}$ and is not adjacent to 3^+-vertices. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. Note that every edge vv_i has at most $\Delta + \tau - 1 \leq 2\Delta - 1$ colored edges within distance two, thus each has at least $\Delta + 1$ available colors, a contradiction. □

Claim 4. If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 4-vertex u and a 2-vertex w, then u is a 4_1-vertex.

Proof. Suppose that u is not a 4_1-vertex. The graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. The edge uv has at most $(2\Delta + 2) + 1 = 2\Delta + 3$ colored edges within distance two, so we can assign a color to it. After the edge uv is colored, the edge wv has at most $\Delta + 4$ colored edges within distance two, so we also can assign an available color to it. □

Claim 5. If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 4-vertex u and a 3-vertex w, then u is not a 4_3-vertex.

Proof. By contradiction, suppose that u is a 4_3-vertex. The graph $G - v$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. The edge wv has at most $2\Delta + 3$ colored edges within distance two, so we can assign a color to it. After wv is colored, the edge uv has at most $(\Delta + 4) + 3 = \Delta + 7 < 3\Delta$ colored edges within distance two, so we also can assign an available color to it. □

Claim 6. Let v_1 be a 2-vertex with $N_G(v_1) = \{v, w_1\}$. If v is a 3_2-vertex, then w_1 is a 5^+-vertex or 4_1-vertex.

Proof. By contradiction and Claim 3, suppose that w_1 is a 3^--vertex or 4_2-vertex or 4_3-vertex. By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. Let v be adjacent to another 2-vertex v_2. Now, we erase the color on edge vv_2 and denote the resulting coloring by σ. The edge v_1w_1 has at most $1 + (2\Delta + 2) = 2\Delta + 3 \leq 3\Delta - 1$ colored edges within distance two, thus we can assign an available color to v_1w_1. After the edge v_1w_1 is colored, the edge vv_1 has at most $(\Delta + 1) + 4 = \Delta + 5$ colored edges within distance two, and vv_2 has at most $(\Delta + 1) + \Delta = 2\Delta + 1$ colored edges within distance two. Thus, both vv_1 and vv_2 have at least three available colors, and we can extend σ to a strong edge coloring of G, which is a contradiction. □

From Euler's formula, we have the following equality:

$$\sum_{v\in V(G)}\left(\frac{5}{2}\deg(v)-7\right)+\sum_{f\in F(G)}(\deg(f)-7)=-14. \tag{3}$$

Assign the initial charge of every vertex v to be $\frac{5}{2}\deg(v)-7$ and the initial charge of every face f to be $\deg(f)-7$. We design appropriate discharging rules and redistribute charges among vertices and faces, such that the final charge of every vertex and every face is nonnegative, which derives a contradiction.

The Discharging Rules:

(R1) Every 1-vertex receives 2 from its incident face.

(R2) Every 1-vertex receives $\frac{5}{2}$ from the adjacent vertex.

(R3) Every 4_1-vertex sends 3 to the adjacent 2-vertex.

(R4) Every 4_2-vertex sends $\frac{3}{2}$ to every adjacent 2-vertex.

(R5) Every 4_3-vertex sends 1 to every adjacent 2-vertex.

(R6) If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 2-vertex, then v receives 2 from u.

(R7) If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 4_2-vertex u and a 3_1-vertex w, then v receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from w.

(R8) If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 3_1-vertex w, then v receives $\frac{3}{2}$ from u and $\frac{1}{2}$ from w.

(R9) If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 3_2-vertex w, then v receives 2 from u.

(R10) If a 2-vertex v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 4^+-vertex w, then v receives 1 from u.

- If f is a face incident with t vertices of degree one, then the degree of f is at least $7+2t$, and then the final charge of f is at least $(7+2t)-7-2t=0$ by (R1).
- If v is a 1-vertex, then it receives 2 from incident face and $\frac{5}{2}$ from the adjacent vertex, and then the final charge is at least $\frac{5}{2}-7+2+\frac{5}{2}=0$ by (R1) and (R2).
- **Let v be a 2-vertex.** By Claim 1, the vertex v is not adjacent to 1-vertices. By Claim 2, the vertex v is adjacent to a 4^+-vertex.

  If v is adjacent to a 4_1-vertex, then the final charge is at least $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+3=1$ by (R3). So we may assume that v is not adjacent to 4_1-vertices.

  If v is adjacent to a 4^+-vertex u and a 2-vertex w, then according to Claim 4 and excluded case, the vertex u is a 5^+-vertex, and then the final charge of v is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+2=0$ by (R6).

  If v is adjacent to a 4-vertex u and a 3-vertex w, then according to Claim 5, 6 and excluded cases, the vertex u is a 4_2-vertex and w is a 3_1-vertex, and then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=0$ by (R4) and (R7).

  If v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 3_1-vertex w, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{1}{2}=0$ by (R8).

  If v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex u and a 3_2-vertex w, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+2=0$ by (R9).

  Now, we assume that v is adjacent to two 4^+-vertex. If v is adjacent to two 4_2-vertices, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{3}{2}=1$ by (R4). If v is adjacent to a 4_2-vertex and a 4_3-vertex, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+\frac{3}{2}+1=\frac{1}{2}$ by (R4) and (R5). If v is adjacent to two 4_3-vertices, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+1+1=0$ by (R5). If v is adjacent to a 5^+-vertex, then the final charge is at least $\frac{5}{2}\times 2-7+1+1=0$ by (R10).
- **Let v be a 3-vertex.** By Claim 1, the vertex v is not adjacent to 1-vertices. If v is a 3_0-vertex, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 3-7=\frac{1}{2}$. If v is a 3_1-vertex, then the final charge is at least $\frac{5}{2}\times 3-7-\frac{1}{2}=0$ by (R8) and (R7). If v is a 3_2-vertex, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 3-7=\frac{1}{2}$ by (R9).
- **Let v be a 4-vertex.** If v is adjacent to a 1-vertex, then according to Claim 1 and (R2), the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 4-7-\frac{5}{2}=\frac{1}{2}$. So we may assume that v is not adjacent to 1-vertices. If v is a 4_1-vertex, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 4-7-3=0$ by (R3). If v is a 4_2-vertex, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 4-7-2\times\frac{3}{2}=0$ by (R4). If v is a 4_3-vertex, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 4-7-3\times 1=0$ by (R5). No 4-vertex is adjacent to four 2-vertices. If v is adjacent to four 3^+-vertices, then the final charge is $\frac{5}{2}\times 4-7=3$.

- **Let v be a k-vertex with $k \geq 5$.** If v is adjacent to at least three 3^+-vertices, then the final charge is at least $\frac{5}{2}k - 7 - \frac{5}{2}(k-3) = \frac{1}{2}$. By Claim 3, we may assume that v is adjacent to one or two 3^+-vertices.

  1. **The vertex v is adjacent to exactly one 3^+-vertex.** If v is adjacent to a 1-vertex u, then uv has at most $\Delta + 2(k-2) \leq 3\Delta - 4$ edges (other than uv) within distance two, so we can firstly color $G - u$ and extend the coloring to G, a contradiction. So we may assume that v is adjacent to exactly $k-1$ vertices of degree two, say $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k-1}$. Let $N_G(v_i) = \{v, w_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

     Suppose that one of $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{k-1}$, say w_1, is a 3^--vertex. The graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. The edge v_1w_1 has at most $2\Delta + (k-1) \leq 3\Delta - 1$ colored edges within distance two, so we can assign an available color to v_1w_1. After the edge v_1w_1 is colored, the edge vv_1 has at most $\Delta + 2(k-2) + 3 \leq 3\Delta - 1$ colored edges within distance two, so we also can assign an available color to vv_1, and then we obtain a strong edge coloring of G, a contradiction. Thus, no w_i is a 3^--vertex. By (R10), the vertex v sends 1 to every adjacent 2-vertex v_i, and then the final charge of v is $\frac{5}{2}k - 7 - (k-1) = \frac{3}{2}k - 6 > 0$.

  2. **The vertex v is adjacent to exactly two 3^+-vertices.** Let ℓ be the number of adjacent 1-vertices. If $0 \leq \ell \leq k-6$, then the final charge of v is at least $\frac{5}{2}k - 7 - \frac{5}{2}\ell - 2(k-2-\ell) = \frac{1}{2}(k-\ell) - 3 \geq 0$. So we may assume that $\ell \geq k-5 \geq 0$. If $\ell \in \{k-2, k-3, k-4\}$, then v is adjacent to a 1-vertex u, and uv has at most $2\Delta + (\ell - 1) + 2(k-2-\ell) < 3\Delta$ edges (other than uv) within distance two, a contradiction. Thus, we have that $\ell = k-5$, which implies that v is adjacent to exactly three 2-vertices, say v_1, v_2 and v_3. Let $N_G(v_1) = \{v, w_1\}$, $N_G(v_2) = \{v, w_2\}$ and $N_G(v_3) = \{v, w_3\}$.

     If one of w_1, w_2 and w_3, say w_1, is a 4^+- or 3_1-vertex, then w_1 sends at least $\frac{1}{2}$ to v_1 and v sends at most $\frac{3}{2}$ to v_1, and then the final charge is at least $\frac{5}{2}k - 7 - \frac{5}{2}(k-5) - \frac{3}{2} - 2 - 2 = 0$. So we may assume that all the vertices w_1, w_2 and w_3 are 2- or 3_2-vertices.

     By the minimality of G, the graph $G - v_1$ admits a strong edge coloring with at most 3Δ colors. Now, we erase the colors on edges v_2w_2, v_3w_3, and denote the resulting coloring by σ. The edge vv_1 has at most $2\Delta + (k-3) + 2 \leq 3\Delta - 1$ colored edges within distance two, so we can assign an available color to vv_1. After vv_1 is colored, we can assign available colors to v_1w_1, v_2w_2, v_3w_3 in this order. □

Corollary 2. If G is a planar graph with maximum degree at least 4 and girth at least 7, then $\chi'_s(G) \leq 3\Delta(G)$.

5 Concluding remark

For each edge e in a graph G, let $L(e)$ denote a list of available colors for e. An *L-edge-coloring* is a proper edge coloring such that the color of every edge e is chosen from the list $L(e)$. A graph G is *t-edge-choosable* if G admits an L-edge-coloring whenever $|L(e)| \geq t$ for $e \in E(G)$. A strong L-edge-coloring is a strong edge coloring such that the color of every edge e is chosen from the list $L(e)$. For an integer t, a graph G is t-list strong edge choosable if there exists a strong L-edge-coloring whenever $|L(e)| \geq t$ for $e \in E(G)$. The *list strong chromatic index* $\chi'_{\text{slist}}(G)$ is the minimum integer t such that G is t-list strong edge choosable.

All the proofs in this paper indicate the number of forbidden colors and available colors for edges, so all the results are true for the list version of strong edge coloring. Specifically,

Theorem 5.1. If G is a graph with $\text{mad}(G) < 3$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, then $\chi'_{\text{slist}}(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$.

Theorem 5.2. If G is a planar graph with girth at least 6, then $\chi'_{\text{slist}}(G) \leq 3\Delta(G) + 1$.

Theorem 5.3. Let G be a planar graph with maximum degree *at most* Δ, where $\Delta \geq 4$. If G has girth at least 7, then $\chi'_{\text{slist}}(G) \leq 3\Delta$.

Note that the proof of Theorem 1.6 given by Hudák et al. cannot be extended to the list version of strong edge coloring, because Grötzsch's theorem is not true for list coloring.

References

[1] L. D. Andersen, The strong chromatic index of a cubic graph is at most 10, Discrete Math. 108 (1992) (1-3) 231–252.

[2] G. J. Chang and N. Narayanan, Strong chromatic index of 2-degenerate graphs, J. Graph Theory 73 (2013) (2) 119–126.

[3] M. Dębski, J. Grytczuk and M. Śleszyńska-Nowak, Strong chromatic index of sparse graphs, eprint arXiv:1301.1992 (2013).

[4] P. Erdős, Problems and results in combinatorial analysis and graph theory, Discrete Math. 72 (1988) (1-3) 81–92.

[5] R. J. Faudree, R. H. Schelp, A. Gyárfás and Z. Tuza, The strong chromatic index of graphs, Ars Combin. 29 (1990) (B) 205–211.

[6] J.-L. Fouquet and J.-L. Jolivet, Strong edge-colorings of graphs and applications to multi-k-gons, Ars Combin. 16 (1983) (A) 141–150.

[7] J.-L. Fouquet and J.-L. Jolivet, Strong edge-coloring of cubic planar graphs, in Progress in graph theory (Waterloo, Ont., 1982), Academic Press, Toronto, ON, 1984, pp. 247–264.

[8] H. Grötzsch, Zur Theorie der diskreten Gebilde. VII. Ein Dreifarbensatz für dreikreisfreie Netze auf der Kugel, Wiss. Z. Martin-Luther-Univ. Halle-Wittenberg. Math.-Nat. Reihe 8 (1959) 109–120.

[9] P. Horák, Q. He and W. T. Trotter, Induced matchings in cubic graphs, J. Graph Theory 17 (1993) (2) 151–160.

[10] D. Hudák, B. Lužar, R. Soták and R. Škrekovski, Strong edge-coloring of planar graphs, Discrete Math. 324 (2014) 41–49.

[11] R. Luo and G. Yu, A note on strong edge-colorings of 2-degenerate graphs, eprint arXiv:1212.6092 (2012).

[12] K. Nakprasit, A note on the strong chromatic index of bipartite graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) (16) 3726–3728.

[13] K. Nakprasit and K. Nakprasit, The strong chromatic index of graphs and subdivisions, Discrete Math. 317 (2014) 75–78.

[14] A. Steger and M.-L. Yu, On induced matchings, Discrete Math. 120 (1993) (1-3) 291–295.

[15] T. Wang, Strong chromatic index of k-degenerate graphs, eprint arXiv:1304.0285 (2013+).

[16] J. Wu and W. Lin, The strong chromatic index of a class of graphs, Discrete Math. 308 (2008) (24) 6254–6261.

[17] G. Yu, Strong edge-colorings for k-degenerate graphs, eprint arXiv:1212.6093v3 (2013).